Anisotropic transport in unidirectional lateral superlattice around half filling of the second Landau level
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We have observed marked transport anisotropy in short period (a=92 nm) unidirectional lateral superlattices around filling factors $\nu=5/2$ and 7/2: magnetoresistance shows a sharp peak for current along the modulation grating while a dip appears for current across the grating. By altering the ratio $a/l$ (with $l=\sqrt{\hbar eB_i}$ the magnetic length) via changing the electron density $n_e$, it is shown that the $\nu=5/2$ anisotropic features appear in the range $6.6\leq a/l \leq 7.2$ varying their intensities, becoming most conspicuous at $a/l=6.7$. The peak/dip broadens with temperature roughly preserving its height and depth up to 250 mK. Tilt experiments reveal that the structures are slightly enhanced by an in-plane magnetic field $B_i$ perpendicular to the grating but are almost completely destroyed by $B_i$ parallel to the grating. The observations suggest the stabilization of a unidirectional charge-density-wave or stripe phase by weak periodic modulation at the second Landau level.
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It has been known for some time that the second (N = 1) Landau level (LL) exhibits enigmatic even denominator fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) (Refs. 1 and 2) at half-filling (filling factors $\nu=5/2$ and 7/2). Interestingly, the state at these filling factors is qualitatively different either from that for the lowest (N=0) or for the higher (N=2) LL’s. The state at the half-filled lowest LL ($\nu=1/2$, 3/2) is now well established to be described by the Fermi sea of composite Fermions.2 On the other hand, the ground state of higher LL’s near half-filling is predicted to be a unidirectional charge-density-wave (CDW) or stripe phase by Hartree-Fock calculations,3–5 which has been supported by a number of recent theories.6–8 Experimentally, ultrahigh mobility ($\mu\approx 1000$ m$^2$/V s) two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) at low temperatures ($T \approx 150$ mK) displays strong transport anisotropy at $\nu=9/2$, 11/2, 13/2, ..., between $\langle110\rangle$ and $\langle1\bar{1}0\rangle$ axes of the host crystal.9,10 It is now widely believed that the transport anisotropy is related to CDW phase, although the key factor connecting the stripe and the crystal axes still remains to be uncovered.11,12

Returning back to the second LL, $\nu=5/2$ (and 7/2) FQHE is known to be quite fragile, having a small activation energy gap, observable only for 2DEG with reasonably high mobility at very low temperatures ($T \approx 100$ mK) (Refs. 1, 13, and 14) and to collapse in tilted magnetic fields.13,15 Moreover, it has recently been reported that an in-plane magnetic field $B_i$ turns the isotropic FQHE state into an anisotropic state similar to those observed at higher LL’s, with current parallel to $B_i$ giving the resistivity maxima.16,17 The role played by $B_i$ in the drastic transition of the $\nu=5/2$ and 7/2 states is not exactly established yet. The most probable picture however, seems to be alteration by $B_i$ of Haldane’s pseudopotential components.18,19 (The Zeeman effect has been experimentally shown to make only small, if any, contribution.20) Whatever the role may be, the effect of $B_i$ on 2DEG is generally believed to be not so large. Therefore the two states are expected to have only small energy difference. Two-dimensional hole system exhibits anisotropic transport at $\nu=5/2$ without tilting,21 demonstrating the subtleness of the difference.) This in turn suggests the possibility of an alternative way to the transition.

The period $a_{\text{CDW}}$ of CDW is theoretically predicted to be about 4–8 times the magnetic length $l=\sqrt{\hbar eB_i}$ depending on the Landau index $N$.3,4,22,23 In the magnetic field range of interest, $a_{\text{CDW}}\approx 30–150$ nm. If a 2DEG has a tendency to spontaneously form CDW with a period $a_{\text{CDW}}$, it will undoubtedly show strong response to the external modulation having a period close to $a_{\text{CDW}}$.6 Along the same line of thought, we investigated the behavior around $\nu=9/2$ of unidirectional lateral superlattices (LSL’s) with periods $a=92$ and 115 nm.24 We identified small anisotropic features in magnetoresistance traces, which possibly reflect the response of CDW to the modulation. However the observed features were too small to be decisive. In the present paper, we focus on the second LL. We report qualitatively similar, but much more intense, anisotropic features at $\nu=5/2$ (and 7/2) for $a=92$ nm LSL (see, e.g., Fig. 1). We have also observed sharp peaks at higher LL’s up to $\nu=25/2$ for current along the grating (qualitatively similar as regard the direction of the current and grating), which will be reported in detail elsewhere. In the case of the $N=1$ LL, the expected role of external modulation is twofold: to make the anisotropic state energetically favorable than the isotropic state25—the role played by $B_i$ in the case of ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG, and to assist the CDW to form and/or align itself, which would otherwise be obstructed by the impurities in moderate-mobility 2DEG. The observation of anisotropic transport features suggests that the external modulation do play the expected roles. The observed peaks/dips are much more distinct than before24 due presumably to optimization of the ratio $a/l$ and also to the expansion of energy scale by larger magnetic field. Two new attempts are made in the present study: (1) samples with square geometry are used instead of Hall bars and (2) the ratio $a/l=\alpha\sqrt{2\pi n_e}/\nu$ for a given $\nu$ is tuned by varying the electron density $n_e$ through infrared LED illumination. Square geom-
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FIG. 1. Magnetoresistance traces between \( \nu = 2 \) and 3 at three different temperatures from our base temperature \( \sim 20 \) mK up to 250 mK. The traces are taken after slight illumination \( (n_e = 2.20 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}) \). Near \( \nu = 5/2 \), a sharp peak is observed for current parallel to the grating, which is replaced by a dip for the perpendicular current. The peak/dip broadens with temperature almost symmetrically in \( B^{-1} \) hence in \( \nu \) (see the upper inset), but their height/depth does not change very much in the measured temperature range. The measurements were done by ordinary ac \( (13 \text{ Hz}) \) lock-in technique. The peak/dip was rather insensitive also to the measurement current in the range \( I = 1 \sim 20 \) nA, although peak broadening attributable to the heating was seen for larger currents. Measurements with \( I = 2 \) or 5 nA are shown throughout this paper where broadening was almost negligible. The temperature dependence is quite dissimilar from that of ultrahigh mobility plain 2DAG: in the latter, the peak height strongly depends on the temperature but the width is almost temperature insensitive, and the anisotropy vanishes at the temperature as low as 150 mK. 9, 10 Moreover, the width of our peak/dip is much narrower \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 0.007 \) at the lowest temperature and \( \approx 0.024 \) even at 250 mK), accounting for only small fraction of the region between two successive integer QHE, while in ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG, the peak spans major part of the region \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 0.3 \sim 0.4) \). In a nutshell, our anisotropy occurs only when much severer condition for \( \nu \) (or \( a/l \)) is met, but once it takes place, it is much more robust. The robustness of the observed anisotropic features against temperature and also against impurities does not in itself defy the interpretation by CDW. We believe low temperature and extremely high mobility are required for plain 2DEG’s in order for the very small built-in anisotropy of unknown origin to be operative. In fact, theories predict rather high \( (\gtrsim 1 \text{ K}) \) onset temperature of the anisotropic transport (with sufficient anisotropy energy), 22 or melting temperature for CDW even in the presence of rather large disorder.23

The first step toward interpreting the observed transport anisotropy in terms of unidirectional CDW or stripe would be to specify the orientation of the stripe. However, this turns out to be not straightforward. Intuitively one would expect (i) the stripe aligns with the external modulation and (ii) the low resistivity axis is along the stripe. This implies a dip for current along the grating in contradiction to the observation, suggesting that either (i) or (ii) is incorrect. Recent

denotes the probe configuration using arms \( i,j \) as source/drain and \( k,l \) as voltage probes. It has been pointed out that the square geometry exaggerates the anisotropy due to current-path effect.26,28 However, since it is not our purpose to quantify the anisotropy, the property is rather advantageous bringing small anisotropy into light. The grating is placed with their stripes parallel either to \( \langle 110 \rangle \) or to \( \langle 1 \bar{1} 0 \rangle \) axis in order to maximize the piezoelectric effect.30 Although we mainly discuss the former arrangement in the following, both directions of the grating give the consistent results31 confirming that the transport is ruled by the external modulation, and the crystallographic axes do not play major role.

The main panels of Fig. 1 show magnetoresistance traces between \( \nu = 2 \) and 3 at three different temperatures from our base temperature \( \sim 20 \) mK up to 250 mK. The traces are taken after slight illumination \( (n_e = 2.20 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}) \). Near \( \nu = 5/2 \), a sharp peak is observed for current parallel to the grating, which is replaced by a dip for the perpendicular current. The peak/dip broadens with temperature almost symmetrically in \( B^{-1} \) hence in \( \nu \) (see the upper inset), but their height/depth does not change very much in the measured temperature range. The measurements were done by ordinary ac \( (13 \text{ Hz}) \) lock-in technique. The peak/dip was rather insensitive also to the measurement current in the range \( I = 1 \sim 20 \) nA, although peak broadening attributable to the heating was seen for larger currents. Measurements with \( I = 2 \) or 5 nA are shown throughout this paper where broadening was almost negligible. The temperature dependence is quite dissimilar from that of ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG: in the latter, the peak height strongly depends on the temperature but the width is almost temperature insensitive, and the anisotropy vanishes at the temperature as low as 150 mK. 9, 10 Moreover, the width of our peak/dip is much narrower \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 0.007 \) at the lowest temperature and \( \approx 0.024 \) even at 250 mK), accounting for only small fraction of the region between two successive integer QHE, while in ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG, the peak spans major part of the region \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 0.3 \sim 0.4) \). In a nutshell, our anisotropy occurs only when much severer condition for \( \nu \) (or \( a/l \)) is met, but once it takes place, it is much more robust. The robustness of the observed anisotropic features against temperature and also against impurities does not in itself defy the interpretation by CDW. We believe low temperature and extremely high mobility are required for plain 2DEG’s in order for the very small built-in anisotropy of unknown origin to be operative. In fact, theories predict rather high \( (\gtrsim 1 \text{ K}) \) onset temperature of the anisotropic transport (with sufficient anisotropy energy), 22 or melting temperature for CDW even in the presence of rather large disorder.23

The first step toward interpreting the observed transport anisotropy in terms of unidirectional CDW or stripe would be to specify the orientation of the stripe. However, this turns out to be not straightforward. Intuitively one would expect (i) the stripe aligns with the external modulation and (ii) the low resistivity axis is along the stripe. This implies a dip for current along the grating in contradiction to the observation, suggesting that either (i) or (ii) is incorrect. Recent

FIG. 1. Magnetoresistance traces between \( \nu = 2 \) and 3 for three temperatures. \( n_e = 2.20 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2} \). The direction of current is along (top) or across (bottom) the grating. Upper inset: peaks plotted against \( B^{-1} \) after background subtraction. Bottom inset: schematic illustration of the sample.
The expectation to be quite subtle: 22, 23 for 2DEG with small enough thickness refinement potential. In fact, a self-consistent calculation for doped acceptors in the GaAs channel of our triangular confinement potential provides 2DEG with wave vector commensurate to the CDW, the stripe tends to orient itself orthogonal to the external modulation. This counterintuitive prediction challenges the firmness of itself orthogonal to the external modulation. This counterintuitive prediction challenges the firmness of it. We believe, however, the period \( a \) of our modulation is close to \( a_{\text{CDW}} \). The expectation (ii) is generally believed to be valid in the interpretation of experimental data on ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG. However, it is based on the assumption that the CDW is pinned by impurities and that the current is mainly carried by the stripe edge, which probably requires to be examined more carefully. In addition, the situation may be different between our LSL and ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG.

To gain more insight into the orientation of the stripe, the behavior of the peak/dip under tilted magnetic fields is investigated. Figure 2 shows traces for current along the grating. By the tilt, \( B_{||} \) is introduced either perpendicular (left) or parallel (right) to the grating. As highlighted in the insets, the effect of \( B_{||} \) perpendicular to the grating is small, slightly enhancing the peak height, while the parallel \( B_{||} \) profoundly affect the peak, almost destroys the peak by \( \theta = 60^\circ \), shifting it to higher \( B_{||} \). For current across the grating (not shown), basically the same trend is observed with a peak replaced by a dip. The effect of \( B_{||} \) on the stripe is theoretically calculated to be quite subtle: 22, 23 for 2DEG with small enough thickness (\( \leq 6 \) nm), the stripe prefers to be oriented perpendicular to \( B_{||} \), but the trend is reversed for thicker 2DEG. The theories are consistent with experiments on ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG, if one assumes the aforementioned (ii). 16, 17, 37 Our 2DEG is expected to be thinner than ultrahigh mobility 2DEG owing to higher concentration of unintentionally doped acceptors in the GaAs channel of our triangular confinement potential. In fact, a self-consistent calculation for \( n_s = 2.2 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2} \) and estimated acceptor concentration \( N_A = 1.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3} \) shows a r.m.s. thickness of 4.8 nm. This means, according to the theories, our stripe prefers to be oriented perpendicular to \( B_{||} \), which in turn suggests, in conjunction with Fig. 2, (i) mentioned above is valid and (ii) must be discarded. Considering the subtleness of thickness dependence of the calculated anisotropy energy, apparently more pieces of information are necessary to be more decisive.

As mentioned earlier, LED illumination is employed to vary \( n_s \) and hence the ratio \( a/\ell \). \( n_s \) is varied step by step over the range 1.97\( \sim 2.84 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2} \). Magnetoresistance traces between \( \nu = 2 \) and 3 are displayed in Fig. 3, for both current directions. The anisotropic features appear in a narrow \( n_s \) range: they are observed only in traces from \( a_1 \) to \( a_7 \) \( (n_s = 2.11 \sim 2.29 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}) \), and traces \( d \) and \( a_8 \) to \( a_{14} \) are featureless. In trace \( a_1 \) very small structures begin to emerge, followed by the most conspicuous peak/dip at \( a_1 = 6.7 \) in trace \( a_2 \). The peak/dip shifts to higher field \( (a_1 = 6.8) \) and at the same time becomes smaller in trace \( a_3 \), and starts to split preserving its position at trace \( a_4 \), splits into several peaks/dips and becomes complicated in traces \( a_5 \) and \( a_6 \). Finally in trace \( a_7 \), the dip and peak interchange their positions: a dip (peak) appears in the trace with current along (across) the grating at \( a_7 = 7.0 \). \( a_7 \) in terms of \( \nu \), the peaks/dips move in a nonmonotonic way around \( \nu = 5/2 \pm 0.1 \). 38

The observation that the peak/dip is most prominent at \( a_1 \) suggests that 6.7\( \nu \) represents a certain optimum length scale of the 2DEG. The simplest idea is to identify 6.7\( \nu \) with \( a_{\text{CDW}} \). However, theoretically estimated \( a_{\text{CDW}} = 4.443 \) for \( \nu = 1 \) LL of zero-thickness 2DEG (Refs. 22, 41) is much smaller. Inclusion of the effect of finite thickness will presumably make the estimate larger by softening the short range repulsive force, but at present we are not sure whether the present discrepancy is reconciled with this inclusion. \( \nu = 6.7 \) is very close to \( 1.5 \times 4.443 = 6.661 \), another possibility arises that the observed “resonance” signifies \( a = 1.5a_{\text{CDW}} \). In that condition, external modulation provides 2DEG with wave vector commensurate to the CDW and at the same time every other minimum in the potential modulation experiences the maximum and the minimum of charge density, respectively, which will make translational motion of CDW easier. Since, as mentioned earlier, our
tilded-field experiment favors the alignment of the stripe parallel to the grating, sliding motion of the stripe is consistent with a resistivity minimum for the current across the grating. The insensitiveness to the measurement current suggests the lack in our case of the nonlinear $J$-$V$ characteristics observed in ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG’s, which is also consistent with the picture that the CDW is not pinned. However, this sliding motion picture is no more than a crude speculation at present. Away from the “resonant” condition, the peak/dip probably try to survive making compromise among the optimum conditions for $a/l, r$ and so forth. On an occasion when multiple conditions come closer in energy together, splitting may result. The interchange in trace $a^7$ of the peak and dip implies, if one assumes one-to-one correspondence between appearance of peak/dip and the orientation of the stripe, the stripe has turned its direction around. A recent theory suggests the possibility of such turnaround. Since the orientation of the stripe is expected to be reflected in its response to $B_{||}$, we have conducted tilt experiment also for $a^7$. For $B_{||}$ parallel to the grating (to be compared with the right panel in Fig. 2), the dip/peak is observed to be more robust, consistent with the turnaround. Owing to the smallness of the observed features that makes quantitative evaluation difficult, however, it seems to be going too far to take this as evidence of the turnaround.

Around $\nu=7/2$, similar peaks/dips are observed, although with much less distinctness, over the entire range of $n_e$ available in the present experimental setup. The behavior is rather complicated to allow simple analysis.

To summarize, we have observed anisotropic features around $\nu=5/2$ (and $7/2$) in 2DEG subjected to weak external modulation having a period comparable to the theoretically calculated period $a_{\text{CDW}}$. The advantage of the present study is that the source of the anisotropy is well defined. Nevertheless the interpretation of the transport anisotropy in terms of CDW requires further study.
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The accuracy of $n_e$, hence of $\nu$, is limited by hysteresis of the superconducting magnet at low field. For comparison, all the $n_e$'s are calculated using Hall resistance measured in the same sweep direction and rate. A systematic error in the absolute value does not affect the discussion.

Concomitantly $\mu$ varies from 75 to 110 m$^2$/V s. The amplitude of potential modulation also varies due to changes in screening. However, we estimate the effect to be small in this relatively small $n_e$ range.

Some of the features mentioned here are very small. However they reproduce among several sweeps including up sweeps and down sweeps for both $I=2$ and 5 nA and well distinguishable from random noises.

The value estimated from the first zero of Laguerre polynomial $L_1(x)$. More realistic calculations in Ref. 22 including higher harmonics give slightly larger values $a_{\text{CDW}}=5l$.

A very recent calculation including finite thickness close to that of our sample shows only slight enhancement of $a_{\text{CDW}}$ from 5.06 to 5.07 by the inclusion. D. Yoshioka (private communication).

We noticed while preparing this manuscript that the interchange of anisotropy axis has actually been observed at $\nu=9/2$ and 11/2 for gated ultrahigh mobility plain 2DEG with variable $n_e$ [J. Zhu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 116803 (2002)].